STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

# (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

## See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT MANUE	LOOKTAGT PERSON			
Department of Fish and Game	CONTACT PERSON Scott Barrow	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-445-7600		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM	<b>.</b>	NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
Sport Fishing Regulations		Z		
	ECONOMIC IMPACT S	TATEMENT		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP	ACTS (Include calculations and assumption	ons in the rulemaking record.)		
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indic	ate whether this regulation:			
a. Impacts businesses and/or emp	loyees	e. Imposes reporting requirements		
b. Impacts small businesses		f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance		
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals		
d. Impacts California competitivend	ess 🗸	h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)		
h. (cont.) The sport fishing re	gulations are not anticipated to have r	negative economic impacts.		
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is c	hecked, complete this Economic Impact St	atement.)		
2. Enter the total number of businesses impact	ed: Describe the types	of businesses (Include nonprofits.):		
Enter the number or percentage of total bus	inesses impacted that are small businesse	s:		
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be o	created:eli	minated:		
Explain:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regiona	l (List areas.):		
5. Enter the number of jobs created:	or eliminated: Describe the types	s of jobs or occupations impacted:		
·				
	,			
Will the regulation affect the ability of Califor	nia businesses to compete with other state	es by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?		
Yes No If yes	s, explain briefly:			
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations a	and assumptions in the rulemaking record )			
What are the total statewide dollar costs that				
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	<del></del>	<del>-</del>		
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$		<del></del>		
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing co	osts: \$ Years:		
d. Describe other economic costs that may o	occur:			

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

	ustries are impacted, er	nter the share of total costs for	each industry:				
_		equirements, enter the annual of					
	lation directly impact ho		No		nnual dollar cost per ho		
number of un	nits:						
. Are there com	nparable Federal regula	tions? Yes N	lo Explain th	e need for State reg	ulation given the existe	ence or absence of I	ederal
regulations:						<del></del>	
Enter any add	ditional costs to busines	sses and/or individuals that ma	y be due to Sta	te - Federal differen	ces: \$		
C. ESTIMATED	BENEFITS (Estimation	of the dollar value of benefits	is not specifical	ly required by rulem	aking law, but encouraç	ged.)	
I. Briefly summa	arize the benefits that $\pi$	nay result from this regulation a	and who will ber	nefit: N/A			
Explain:  3. What are the  2. ALTERNATIVE pecifically required.	total statewide benefits VES TO THE REGULATION T	specific statutory requirements from this regulation over its life.  TION (Include calculations and but encouraged.)  cribe them below. If no alternations are supplied to the	etime? \$d assumptions in	n the rulemaking rec	ord. Estimation of the o		its is not
		and benefits from this regulati					
	Regulation: Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$Benefit: \$		Cost: \$ Cost: \$			
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$					
3. Briefly discus	ss any quantification iss	ues that are relevant to a comp				r alternatives:	
4. Rulemaking	law requires agencies	to consider performance stand	lards as an altei	rnative, if a regulatio	n mandates the use of	specific technologie	es or
_	, ,	to consider performance stand					es or No

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will the estimated of	osts of this regulation to Califor	nia business enterprises exce	eed \$10 million ?	No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
•	ch equally as an effective alterr		natives, for which a cost-effective	ness analysis was performed:
	1, 1100 ATT A A A A			
3. For the regulation, a	and each alternative just descri	bed, enter the estimated total	cost and overall cost-effectivene	ess ratio;
Regulation:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 2:			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
manarad Julyan		FISCAL IMPACT	T STATEMENT	
A. FISCAL EFFECT C year and two subsequ		dicate appropriate boxes1 thre	ough 6 and attach calculations a	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
-		***************************************		e reimbursable by the State pursuant to . Funding for this reimbursement:
a. is pro	ovided in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of
b. will b	ne requested in the	Govern	nor's Budget for appropriation in	Budget Act of
			rrent State Fiscal Year which are et seq. of the Government Code	not reimbursable by the State pursuant to because this regulation:
a. imple	ements the Federal mandate co	ontained in	***************************************	The state of the s
b. imple	ments the court mandate set fo	rth by the		
cou	urt in the case of		vs	11 A Park
c. imple		e of this State expressed in the	eir approval of Proposition No.	at the (DATE)
d. is iss	ued only in response to a speci	fic request from the		
Manager of the state of the sta			, whic	ch is/are the only local entity(s) affected;
e. will b	oe fully financed from the	(	FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by Section
		of the		Code;
f. prov	ides for savings to each affecte	d unit of local government wh	ich will, at a minimum, offset any	additional costs to each such unit;
g. crea	tes, eliminates, or changes the	penalty for a new crime or infi	raction contained in	
3. Savings of ap	pproximately \$	annually.		
4. No additional	costs or savings because this	regulation makes only technic	al, non-substantive or clarifying	changes to current law regulations.

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

<b>√</b> 5.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6.	Other.	
	CAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	= ent
1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.  b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for the	
2.	Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
_	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.  Other.	
	CAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fisc for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	al
<u> </u>	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
2.	Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
_	. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.  Other.	
FISCA	LOFFICER SIGNATURE 7/29/09	
	NCY SECRETARY 1 ROVAL/CONCURRENCE  Jon K. Fischer  8/18/09	
	PROGRÁM BUDGET MANAGER  ARTMENT OF FINANCE ROVAL/CONCURRENCE	

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
  impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
  ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.